IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

TUESDAY, THE 10TH JULY 2007 / 19TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 19558 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

DR.S.P.SHAJI PRABHA,PATHRIRIYODE
KULATHINKARA PUTHEN VEEDU,BALARAMAPURAM.P.O.,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,(SERIOGICAL ASSISTANT,
CHEMICAL EXAMINER'S LABORATARY,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM)

BY ADV. SRI.C.A.MAJEED

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,REPRESENTED BY
REGISTRAR,UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. VICE-CHANCELLOR,UNIVERSITY OF KERALA,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVT.HIGHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT,THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,.

BY ADV. SRI.M.RAJAGOPALAN NAIR, SC, KERALA UTY.

GOVT.PLEADER SRI.A.J.VARGHESE.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 10/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K. Basheer, J.

- -

W.P(C) No.19558 of 2007-C

- -

Dated this the 10th day of July, 2007.

Judgment

Petitioner is an aspirant to the post of Reader in Biochemistry in the University of Kerala. It appears that petitioner's application for appointment to the above post in response to a notification issued in the year 2000 was not favourably considered. The challenge against his non-selection did not meet with any success.

2. The University had issued another notification in the year 2005 inviting applications from eligible candidates for the same post. Petitioner had yet again responded and submitted Ext.P13 application. This was in the year 2005. Grievance of the petitioner is that even after expiry of nearly 2 years, no steps have been taken by the University to complete the selection process. It is in the above circumstances that the petitioner has preferred this writ petition.

3. Though petitioner has now challenged his non-selection pursuant to the notification issued in the year 2000, I m not satisfied that the said issue can be called in question at this belated stage, particularly since the petitioner had not admittedly got the requisite experience in terms of the notification at that time.

4. However when this writ petition came up for consideration on June 26, 2007, the Standing Counsel for the University was directed to find out how soon the selection process would be completed , if the University so decides.

5. Learned Standing Counsel submits that the University proposes to go ahead with the above selection process pursuant to Ext.P12 notification. It is further submitted that the file has been put

up before the Vice Chancellor for appropriate directions and the selection process will be completed expeditiously.

In the above facts and circumstances the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents 1 and 2 to complete the selection process to the post of Reader in the Department of Biochemistry in the University pursuant to Ext.P12 notification as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

A.K. Basheer
Judge.

an.